INCULTURATION AND HERMENEUTICS

INTRODUCTION

	Pa	~ ₹	
Culture has become, in	this last b	it of the cen	tury, a
privileged issue. In the big an	d small confi	licts around th	ne world
the term "culture", is involv	ed more or 1	less conscious	ly as a
fundamental ground.	erging		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Is There Coming a Revolution from Cultures?			

Our question is if the cultural groups can be acting as revolutionary agents. In the seventies, Mao Tse Tung promoted a "cultural revolution". In this case culture was an "object" which was supposed to be revolutionized, and the people were reeducated in the new culture.

Can now the culture invert his position; and from an object to can to become a subject and act as a revolutionary principle of force of transformation of the general panorama of the political and national order in the world? Can the cultural identity be elevated to a new theoretical value to justify a new international set of rights and a new political model?

This doubt is suggested by the recent improved sense of the ethnicity, and the form as cultural groups of any type, large as less numerous, are imposing their rules to our yet enough programmed and established world system of states and nations.

In fact, the new fall of the Communism in the USSR apparently was due to an upsurge and sparkling of the old political states what formerly pre-existed, and were arbitrarily integrated in one

juridical and ideological unity the socialist soviet union.

But these previous and historically recognized nations (as Poland, Latvia, Lithuania, Estonia, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, etc. A were not they the true political and also military forces which who disrupted the long lasting and police-controlled superpower.

Indeed they did not day at rest after their earned independence. The truth emerged when the new liberated states began started moving from inside. By dividing themselves in smaller cultural entities, as Czechoslovakia, Moldavia, Armenia, Ukraine, and others in and out the USSR, as Albania, Macedonia, Yugoslavia on the 11.

This movement is revealing where the true force which has overthrown an artificial whole, was rooted. Despite of all serious of economical, technological and social problems, the ethnic and the cultural energies have stated an absolute priority in the evident race to the people freedom. These are the real constitutive bases for reconstructing the states in a new concept of peace. In the first shiring themselves as different and autonomous cultures in the same land where other ethnic groups dwell with and against them precovering their own identities.

Is this the first shiring model of technical revolution?

But the case of Yugoslavia with so different regions and groups involved in Taxas irrational as crude/military confrontation (inheritance of atavis hanger) is the most amazing example of our day incompatibility among cultures and their respective ethnic identities. At the same time, this is a good example of a political manipulation of the ethnic movement.

To what extent are How far the ethnic urgency could be acceptable in a contemporary order of nations, and the international relations of There are County, the economical and political world system? If not how can we transmit(/to the pluralistic set of cultural groups some will enable them to present lines views that let all stay together in a political context with the while setting mintainty benefits of peace and of friendly relations keeping their own special cultural expressions and freedom alive?

This is the challenge, of the growing consciousness of the cultural identity and ethnic uniqueness, to the fight of promoting Its god is t and make I possibly a mutual understanding, and the consequent agreement of a multiplicity of groups to be linked by common concerns, values and some social and economical structures.

And is the principal aim of the present book too. We are not a study of the only speculating about the necessity of creating bridges between the different groups at the complex and difficult levels at while where the common life put them in, needed and compulsive It amy to for further contacts, and without when they could not survive. We hope we can going on to discover the trends to build them.

If the ethnic movement is, historically, an ethnic revolution, we could not stop it, we have to accept it and understand it, and make it a bit more human in its bad consequences. No doubt, that the ethnic and cultural movement is the most human authentic Mand concrete we can find in the human history Much more human and complete than every other sociological or philosophical frame or employed for ideological model put to work to organize the human kind: from the and the theocratic model to imperial or democratic one.

4 Introduction

As for all the human limited creations, the absolutization of a unique point of view causes severe injuries to the neighbors who from multiple perspectives, assert their own right to the life. It will be a requisite to conciliate the opposition with the convergence, (of course at different levels? the exclusivity with the communication, the autonomy with the sharing of essential qualities of the whole.

It would not be a general and speculative problem if the situation we described was bound to some special circumstances or represents an area of the earth. A rapid survey make us sure that Latin America largely presents on great proportion the same problem as the Occidental and East Europe, and Africa the Near East of Asia and so many others. Consequently we have to put the question in some general form, with the view to the humanity itself, and to speak about the problem of "inculturation" as a general problem of our human society. Here, we will see that the problem of a general problem of a general problem of a society.

Inculturation is specifically the answer to this necessity, and we have to develop some content to this word, not only from the semantic aspect, but from the broader access of the activity implicated in its living dynamics.

For this we must have a deeper concern with our contemporary society in its movement into itself.

Despite altinew and impressive mass-media and electronic communication's means that apparently have spread an even net of interests over all the population of this planet, which put all men in easy and immediate access to the news, good or bad, of the whole

nevertheless, human kind, we have the feeling that it had not stressed the uniformity so much as had improved the consciousness of the differences and the praise of the personal and collective creativity of the groups. We are not speaking about causes but is enough to see it as symptoms.

From the other side we are aware that the most important economical centers as the big industrial nations North-americanblock /South-american-cone, European-Market /South-Pacific-market //././ are progressing toward a big worldwide balance. These global organizations and great systems are so distant from the concerns of every political state and the ethnic personality of the people that it would not be surprise a contrary reaction on behalf of the differences.

These distances are so strange as Montreal to Miami, Vancouver to Gaudalajara, New York to Mexico City, Ocean City to Acapulco, to point only some of the Northern-Block antithesis.

In fact we assist to a process of sharp opposition among the human groups of different cultures who emphasize their own idiosyncrasies, the design of living, familiar patterns and traditions.

It is notorious that in Guatemala City a community of northamerican people , working there and having big economical interests in this nation, are living in completely different and separated unities, frequently protected by security guards and barbed wire . They have their exclusive clubs, stores, educational and health facilities, newspapers, and other. And this situation has not

the aim to a political domination or to affirm some national superiority; then we deduce is only a cultural and ethnic phenomenon only to impose among themselves and defending their typical and traditional american pattern of life. The

The example can be easily repeated if we remember of the English community in Belice, of the american group in Honduras, and the american Zone in Panama.

The reciprocal interrelation does emerge and is spread through all the nations thoses of immigrant groups in America and Europe. A good example is the community of Salvadorians in Washington, of Nicaraguans and of Cubans in Miami, and so on.

ethnic ideals among the immigrant groups of the beginning or the half of this century, we find a very new character. There new groups scattered in immense and strange territories, in cities of many millions of populations, are not ready to forget their national roots, and not only they oppose a systematic dispersion, they positively fight to stay together and perpetuate their originary moped and common life.

The individuals are moving from a place to another, from one city to another searching jobs, but immediately they relate with a community of their own group. They change place but do not change community. Their involvement with the economical problem training, jobs, health of the host nation openly recognizes and appreciate has support, and the opportunity of a better life, but at the same time they not become open to his new style of

This new phenomenon of ethnicity exploded after the seventies when many millions of people in the south of Asia wen and from Wietnam, Laos, Campouchea, and a lot of them reached Los Angeles, San Francisco, and other cities for before, when the Chinese people went to Taitwan to build a new nation. Africa too own a large history of similar migrations. And it is lasting on our days, as the Eritreans going to Yemen, the Albanians going to Italy, the Macedonians in different Balkan nations.

No doubt that these groups got the consciousness of their particular situation and are acquainted of accepting the rules and the gifts of the nation who received them, but they bring with then a true nationality and can not renounce to it.

the human being itself as deeply influenced in his daily existence

by the experience, mind, tradition, the means of expressing will themselves given to the individual by a concrete human kinship.

Ethnicity does signify culture, customs design of life, family links with the group and with his roots in other country, that more and more, differentiates every small community and the true nations from the neighbors among whom they are dwelling. That is what we call a cultural pluralism, a character intensely stressed of the country of the country

Am immediate reaction to this situation it seems could be

8 Introduction

an inevitable ethnocentrism and a fragmentation of the political states in a progressive process of multiplication of groups in which everybody defend their particular interests. Each one would be concerned in his original interpretation of the world and in maintaining his exclusive point of view.)

And this is too the conclusion drawn by many observers of this impressive wave of changes and the understandable attitude of distrust positive rejection, and a sense of a impossible reconciliation.

But this deduction, we think, is not reasonably consequent. If we consider this reality in its conscious dimension we have to make some obvious distinction between the ethnicity itself and the political action that can follow. The ethnicity, the identity, the culture of a group, are things difficult to be conceptualized; but every body understand that are not ideologies to speak about, as we refers to a political party, an institutionalized movement an army, a sociological movement, or a philosophical conception of the citizenship.

Some time the culture can become a flag to attract the support of the individuals on behalf of a national cause apparently due, but really manipulated by a politic or military party or government. Then it is used as a natural force to strife against other groups or nations. The natural sense of unity and responsibility to the members of a community is twisted to the aggression by the corrupted intentions of a powerful elite. Then a spontaneous phenomenon of autodefense is converted to an abstract

and inhuman plan of an ideology.

Ethnicity is not an ideology_but a consciousness, an historical background which some times can involve ideological elements together with some other of utopic content, as clearly exposed Paul Ricoeur in his Ideology and Utopia. Culture is only the "epiphany" of the ethnic consistence; is a construction of the self and is not related for itself to the aggression or to the violence. Is the way of life much more intense, collective, dialogical than any ideology.

From this platform of consciousness we have to move toward the can discover understanding of differences, hoping we will find the possibility will allow of seeing a human dimension which let us Tseek for a more general level of learning ethnic pluralism. We search for a path for stretching a unity over the plurality . In this sense we hope to build a bridge among differences not against; but as a naturally complementa Over the ethnic diversity the national unity as a link that rely upon the intellectual, moral, and social trade to reach ar higher convergence.

This is the point about which the present book is principally concerned. How can any important cultural, technical, juridical and economical elements be built as a convergent aim for different ethnic groups and cultures, without that would signify forsaking their own values or betray theatradition, of the identity. That is negatively expressed what we call positively "inculturation". The That is to let people be attracted by the very human and (universal) principles (at least in some major degree) to the drift of To more into assuming them and therefore be conveyed to a more complex

10 Introduction

community sharing more general goods, every time the historical challenge, the technical stress, or the environmental defies, call for

We did not invent the ethnic groups; simply they are there and they are growing despite all our predictions about a general subject themselves are strengthening the depulation intimate joints of their identity to protect themselves the meanings of their culture, to become more acquainted with their human interests, or so. The new reality is that the ethnic groups not only do exist but they formally declare themselves are solicitous of their being, and request the due praise to its

We know that the groups are really not more that some sets of persons, But they truly exist and, as persons, are the subject of the fundamental human rights. Our task does consist in understanding their extensions and their limitations, opposition and continuity, and their mutual cohesion that permits trough the "inculturation" can make the weaving an unbroken texture.